

Dana Frix
direct tel (202) 974-5691
facsimile (202) 974-6791
dfrix@chadbournel.com

March 2, 2005

Via Electronic Filing

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Choice One Limited Discontinuance - WC Docket No. 05-38

Dear Ms. Dortch:

This letter is filed on behalf of Choice One Communications of Connecticut Inc., Choice One Communications of Massachusetts Inc., Choice One Communications of New York Inc., and Choice One Communications of Pennsylvania Inc. (collectively "Choice One"). On January 26, 2005 Choice One filed a Section 63.71 Application for Authority to Discontinue Service to a Limited Number of Customers.

The Public Notice in this matter requested that comments be filed by February 15, 2005. A comment was filed out of time by Harbro Sales & Service, Inc. on February 24, 2005. It requested that Choice One's discontinuance date (March 15, 2005) be extended until such time as Harbro is able to obtain substitute service from Verizon, which service Harbro indicated it expected to have on or about April 6, 2005.

This is to advise the Commission that Choice One has no objection to continuing to provide service to Harbro until April 6. Over the past month Choice One has offered to continue to provide such service to Harbro. Choice One provides two types of service to Harbro: T-1 service and 4 POTS lines. In order to continue to provide these services Choice One will need to groom its network so that the T-1 service will be pointed to a Choice One collocation cage other than the one which currently serves Harbro. This will be done during the evening when Harbro is closed and thus any changes will be entirely transparent to Harbro. With regard to the POTS lines, those lines are currently served by UNE loops which connect to the Choice One collocation site that will be discontinued. Three of the POTS lines are in Harbro's main offices, and thus, in order to continue to provide service to Harbro Choice One will need to connect Harbro's telephone equipment to the T-1 already being provided to Harbro. A Choice One technician can accomplish this with a 15-30 minute service call. Each line will be individually transferred, meaning that Harbro will not lose service during the service call. A fourth POTS line

is apparently in a different building, and Choice One is conducting a site survey to determine how best to continue to provision that service, possibly via a resold Verizon line. Choice One will make these arrangements without additional cost to Harbro. For reasons unclear to Choice One, Harbro has rejected these arrangements. That rejection is unreasonable and accordingly Choice One requests that the Commission reject Harbro's late filed comments and its request for an extension of time or, in the alternative, find that Choice One's proposed solution discussed above consistent with the Commission's discontinuance rules and the public interest.

Frankly, Choice One is puzzled by Harbro's apparent refusal to deal with Choice One on this matter. Harbro failed to respond to telephone calls initiated by Choice One between January 18 and February 10, 2005. It has refused Choice One's offer to continue service as described above. Its comments were not mailed to Choice One. Choice One regrets its inability to continue to serve Harbro, but believes that its willingness to continue to service Harbro until a reasonable alternative can be provisioned satisfies the Commission's discontinuance rules.

Respectfully submitted,



Dana Frix
Kemal Hawa
Chadbourne & Parke LLP

Counsel for Choice One

cc: Mr. Rodney McDonald (via email)
Elizabeth J. McDonald, Esq.